30th January 1956]

SRI V. R. KRISHNA AYYAR : Sir, the Hon. the Minister for Finance says that there was some kind of unanimity or agreement among the various parties. But I find Sri K. P. Gopalan representing one party and Sri Koran representing another party (Praja Socialist Party) both objecting to this move. May I know whether the opposition as such of the Praja Socialist Party is the same as that of the Communist Party?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : In view of the fact that there is no unanimity, and the political parties are opposing this move, the Government also are against the resolution.

* SRI P. T. RAJAN : Much ado about nothing has been made. There was unanimity in the Committee with a view to helping Ootacamund. It was not a question of anyone trying to make political capital. No doubt the Hon. the Leader of the House was very cautious. If there is not going to be unanimity, I have certainly no hesitation in withdrawing my resolution.

The resolution was, by leave, withdrawn.

VI.—MOTION UNDER RULE 21 (3) OF THE ASSEMBLY RULES.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I move—

"That under rule 21 (3) of the Assembly Rules, the Madras Compulsory Labour (Amendment) Bill, 1955, be postponed and the South Kanara Cultivating Tenants Protection (Amendment) Bill, 1956, be taken up now."

The motion was put and carried.

VII.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE SOUTH KANARA CULTIVATING TENANTS PROTECTION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1956.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, I beg to introduce the South Kanara Cultivating Tenants Protection (Amendment) Bill[a], 1956, and move—

"That the Bill be taken into consideration at once."

Sir, this is a very simple Bill. In view of the fact that we have not been able to finalise the Tenancy Bill and also the various issues relating to land reform, it is necessary to extend the life of the Act. That is the object of this Amendment Bill. I hope the House will pass this Bill unanimously.

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved—

"That the South Kanara Cultivating Tenants Protection (Amendment) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration at once."

* SRI L. C. PAIS : Sir, the Bill, as has been pointed out, seeks practically to extend the life of the existing Act. Certainly there cannot be two opinions on the subject. The present Act seeks to

[a] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 27th January 1956.